| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | 10/707,010 | CAMPBELL, RAY F. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | John E. Chapman | 2856 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amended</u> | | |
| (1) <u>John E. Chapman</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Justin H. Purcell</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 8 March 2005 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) | | |
| Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: none | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: none | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Claim 6 was amended to depend upon claim 8, but claim 8 depends upon claim 6. Therefore, amend claim 8 to depend upon claim 4. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Mr Ellery | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant | /Applicant's Representative Signature | gnature – if appropriate) |